


the fee assessment by the district court.” McGore v. Wigglesworth, 114 F.3d 601, 605 (6th Cir. 1997) (citation omitted), *overruled on other grounds by* Jones v. Bock, 549 U.S. 199 (2007); see also Goins v. Decaro, 241 F.3d 260, 262 (2d Cir. 2001) (“we are not at liberty to read into the PLRA judicial authority to cancel remaining indebtedness for withdrawn appeals.”); Williams v. Roberts, 116 F.3d 1126, 1127 (5th Cir. 1997) (“the filing fee is to be assessed for the privilege of initiating an appeal, without regard to the subsequent disposition of the matter.”). Plaintiff is still required to pay the full filing fee even though the action has been dismissed. Therefore, the Motion would be denied even if it was properly before the Court. See McGore, 114 F.3d at 607; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff’s *pro se* Motion Contesting Filing Fee, (Doc. No. 196), is **STRICKEN** and, alternatively, **DENIED**.

Signed: March 14, 2020


Frank D. Whitney
Chief United States District Judge 